| 1 | GARY S. WINUK | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Chief of Enforcement ZACHARY W. NORTON Commission Counsel | | | | |
| 3 | Commission Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission | | | | |
| 4 | 428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814 | | | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (916) 322-5660 Facsimile: (916) 322-1932 | | | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION | | | | |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of: | FPPC No. 14/024 | | | |
| 12 | SHANNON GROVE, SHANNON | STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER | | | |
| 13 | GROVE FOR ASSEMBLY 2012, and KAREN CAIN | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | Respondent. | | | | |
| 16 | STIPULATION | | | | |
| 17 | Complainant Fair Political Practices Commis | ssion and Respondents Shannon Grove, Shannon | | | |
| 18 | Grove for Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain hereby a | gree that this Stipulation will be submitted for | | | |
| 19 | consideration by the Fair Political Practices Commis | ssion at its next regularly scheduled meeting. | | | |
| 20 | The parties agree to enter into this Stipulatio | n to resolve all factual and legal issues raised in thi | | | |
| 21 | matter and to reach a final disposition without the necessity of holding an additional administrative | | | | |
| 22 | hearing to determine the liability of Respondents, pu | ursuant to section 83116 of the Government Code. | | | |
| 23 | Respondents understand, and hereby knowin | gly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural | | | |
| 24 | rights set forth in Government Code sections 83115.5, 11503 and 11523, and in California Code of | | | | |
| 25 | Regulations, title 2, sections 18361.1 through 18361 | .9. This includes, but is not limited to the right to | | | |
| 26 | appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at | | | | |
| 27 | Respondent's own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to | | | | |
| 28 | subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over | | | | |

the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

As described in Exhibit 1, it is further stipulated and agreed that Respondents Shannon Grove, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain violated the Political Reform Act by: 1) failing to file online reports disclosing contributions of \$5,000 or more received outside the election cycle, in violation of Government Code Section 85309, subdivision (c) (1 Count). Exhibit 1, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein, is a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.

Respondents agree to the issuance of the Decision and Order, which is attached hereto, and Respondents agrees to the Commission imposing upon them an administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000). A cashier's check or money order from Respondents totaling said amount, made payable to the "General Fund of the State of California," is submitted with this Stipulation as full payment of the administrative penalty and shall be held by the State of California until the Commission issues its Decision and Order regarding this matter. The parties agree that in the event the Commission refuses to accept this Stipulation, it shall become null and void, and within fifteen (15) business days after the Commission meeting at which the Stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondent in connection with this Stipulation shall be reimbursed to Respondents. Respondents further stipulate and agree that in the event the Commission rejects the Stipulation and a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of this Stipulation.

| | Gary S. Winuk, Chief of Enforcement |
|--------|---|
| | Fair Political Practices Commission |
| Dated: | |
| | Respondent Shannon Grove, individually and on behalf of Respondent, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012 |

| 1 | | |
|-----|--------|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | D. (1 | |
| 3 4 | Dated: | Respondent Karen Cain, individually and on behalf of Respondent, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | DECISION AND ORDER | | |
| 3 | The foregoing Stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Shannon Grove, Shannon Grove for | | |
| 4 | Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain," FPPC No. 14/024, including all attached exhibits, is hereby accepted | | |
| 5 | as the final decision and order of the Fair Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution below | | |
| 6 | by the Chair. | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | Dated: Joann Remke, Chair | | |
| 11 | Fair Political Practices Commission | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 2324 | | | |
| | | | |
| 2526 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 20 | | | |

EXHIBIT 1

INTRODUCTION

Respondent Shannon Grove ("Respondent Grove") represents the 34th Assembly District, having been re-elected on November 6, 2012. Respondent Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012 ("Respondent Committee") was created on February 4, 2011, as a candidate controlled committee for Respondent Grove's 2012 Assembly campaign. Respondent Karen Cain was the Treasurer for Respondent Committee at all times relevant.

This matter arose from an audit performed by the Franchise Tax Board ("FTB") for the period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. During the period covered by the audit, Respondent Committee reported receiving contributions totaling \$513,295 and making expenditures totaling \$449,456. Respondent Committee exceeded the \$50,000 threshold for online filing requirements in June of 2011. The FTB audit report found, and the Enforcement Division confirmed, that Respondents Shannon Grove, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain failed to file online campaign reports disclosing contributions received of \$5,000 or more outside the 90 day period before an election.

The Respondents violated the requirements of the Political Reform Act (the "Act")¹ by failing to comply with campaign reporting provisions.

For the purposes of this Stipulation, Respondents' violation is stated as follows:

COUNT 1:

Between August and October of 2011, outside the 90-day period before an election, Respondent Shannon Grove, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain received 11 contributions of \$5,000 or more form various individuals, totaling \$77,400, and failed to disclose the contributions within 10 business days of receipt in an online campaign report, in violation of Government Code Section 85309, subdivision (c).

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

Duty to File Periodic Campaign Statements and Reports

An express purpose of the Act, as set forth in Section 81002, subdivision (a), is to ensure that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed, so that voters may be fully informed, and improper practices may be inhibited. The Act therefore establishes a comprehensive campaign reporting system designed to accomplish this purpose of disclosure. Section 82013, subdivision (a), defines a "committee" to include any person who receives contributions totaling \$1,000 or more in a calendar year. This type of committee is

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise indicated.

commonly referred to as a "recipient committee." Under Section 82016, a recipient committee controlled by a candidate is a "controlled committee."

Duty to File Reports Online

In order to maximize the availability of information regarding campaign disclosure to the public, the Act requires any candidate, officeholder, committee, or other person who is required to file statements, reports, or other documents in connection with a state elective office to file them online or electronically when the total cumulative reportable amount of contributions received, expenditures made, loans made, or loans received is \$50,000 or more. (§ 84605, subdivision (a).)

Once a person or entity is required to file online or electronically, the person or entity is required to file all subsequent reports online or electronically as well. (§ 84605, subdivision (g).) Persons filing online or electronically are also required to continue to file required disclosure statements and reports in paper format, which continue to be the official filing for audit and other legal purposes until the Secretary of State determines the system is operating securely and effectively. (§ 84605, subdivision (i).)

Duty to Report Contributions Received Outside the Election Cycle of \$5,000 or More

A candidate for elective state office who is required to file campaign reports online or electronically is also required to file a campaign report online or electronically within 10 business days of receipt of every contribution of \$5,000 or more that is received at any other time than during the election cycle. (§ 85309, subdivision (c).) This campaign report must disclose specified information regarding the contribution and is not required to be filed in paper format. (*Ibid*.)

Joint and Several Liability of Candidate and Treasurer

Under Section 81004, subdivision (b), Section 84100, and Regulation 18427, it is the duty of a candidate and the treasurer of his or her controlled committee to ensure that the committee complies with all of the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt and expenditure of funds, and the reporting of such funds. A candidate and the treasurer of his or her controlled committee may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee, for any reporting violations committed by the committee. (See Sections 83116.5 and 91006.)

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

Respondent Shannon Grove ("Respondent Grove") represents the 34th Assembly District, having been re-elected on November 6, 2012. Respondent Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012 ("Respondent Committee") was created on February 4, 2011, as a candidate controlled committee for Respondent Grove's 2012 Assembly campaign. Respondent Karen Cain was the Treasurer for Respondent Committee at all times relevant.

This matter arose from an audit performed by the Franchise Tax Board ("FTB") for the period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. During the period covered by the audit, Respondent Committee reported receiving contributions totaling \$513,295 and making expenditures totaling \$449,456. Respondent Committee exceeded the \$50,000 threshold for online filing requirements in June of 2011.

The FTB audit report found, and the Enforcement Division confirmed, that Respondents Shannon Grove, Shannon Grove for Assembly 2012, and Karen Cain failed to file online campaign reports disclosing contributions received of \$5,000 or more outside the 90 day period before an election.

COUNT 1

Failure to Report Contributions of \$5,000 or More Online

Respondents were required to disclose each contribution of \$5,000 or more received at a time other than during an election cycle in an online campaign report filed within 10 business days of receipt.

In this matter, Respondents failed to disclose 11 contributions of \$5,000 or more during the reporting periods within 10 days of receipt in online campaign reports, totaling \$77,400. The unreported contributions are shown in the following table.

| Contribution Date | Contributor | Amount | |
|--------------------------|---|---------|--|
| 08/08/2011 | Coastal Product Company, Inc. | \$7,800 | |
| 08/08/2011 | Donald C. & Diane S. Lake Marital Trust | \$7,800 | |
| 08/08/2011 | Joseph Campbell | \$7,800 | |
| 08/08/2011 | Rayburn S. Dezember | \$5,000 | |
| 08/11/2011 | Sturgeon Services International, Inc. | \$7,800 | |
| 08/18/2011 | E & B Natural Resources MGMT. Corp | \$7,800 | |
| 09/22/2011 | Kevin McCarthy for Congress | \$7,800 | |
| 09/22/2011 | NTS, Inc. | \$7,800 | |
| 10/03/2011 | Paramount Farming Company | \$5,000 | |
| 10/20/2011 | Patrick A. Wade | \$7,800 | |
| 02/16/2012 | World Oil Corp | \$5,000 | |
| Total \$77,400 | | | |

By failing to file online campaign reports disclosing contributions of \$5,000 or more received outside the election cycle, as set forth above, Respondents violated Section 85309, subdivision (c) of the Government Code.

CONCLUSION

This matter consists of one count, which carries a maximum possible administrative penalty of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000).

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Enforcement Division considers the typical treatment of a violation in the overall statutory scheme of the Act, with an emphasis on serving the purposes and intent of the Act. The Enforcement Division also considers the facts and circumstances of the violation in context of the factors set forth in Regulation 18361.5, subdivision (d)(1)-(6), which include: the seriousness of the violations; the presence or lack of intent to deceive the voting public; whether the violation was deliberate, negligent, or inadvertent; whether the Respondent demonstrated good faith in consulting with Commission staff; whether there was a pattern of violations; and whether upon learning of the violation the Respondent voluntarily filed amendment to provide full disclosure. Additionally, liability under the Act is governed in significant part by the provisions of Section 91001, subdivision (c), which requires the Commission to consider whether or not a violation is inadvertent, negligent or deliberate, and the presence or absence of good faith, in applying remedies and sanctions.

The typical administrative penalty for to file online reports within 10 days disclosing contributions of \$5,000 or more received at a time other than during the election cycle have historically resulted in penalties in the mid to low range of the available penalties, depending on the facts of the case.

Other violations of Section 85309, subdivision (c) that have been recently approved by the Commission include:

In the matter of Abel Maldonado, Abel Maldonado for Senate, Christopher J. Raymer, and Chris Steinbruner, FPPC No. 10/070. Abel Maldonado was elected to the California Senate on November 2, 2004, representing the 15th District. Respondent Maldonado ran for re-election to the state Senate, won in the June 3, 2008, primary election and was re-elected to the state Senate in the November 4, 2008, general election. Respondents failed to file online campaign reports disclosing contributions received of \$5,000 or more outside the 90 day period before an election in connection with 9 contributions for a total of \$65,400 from various individuals. The commission approved settlement of this case, with a \$2,000 penalty for this violation, on April 11, 2011.

The making of a contribution to a candidate or elected official while registered to lobby that candidate or official's office is a serious violation of the Act. In this case, Respondents disclosed these contributions on the appropriate Report of Lobbying Firm (Form 625). Respondents' violation of the Act was deliberate at worst and negligent at best. Respondents have no prior enforcement history.

PROPOSED PENALTY

After consideration of the factors of Regulation 18361.5, including whether the behavior in question was inadvertent, negligent or deliberate and the Respondent's patter of behavior, as well as consideration of penalties in prior enforcement actions, the imposition of a penalty of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) for Count One is recommended.